

ADDENDUM NO. 1

TO

CITY OF AUBURN, MAINE

BID#2026-018

Taylor Brook Fish Passage Improvements Feasibility Plan

DATE: March 16, 2026

This addendum amends and /or supplements the bid documents as indicated below. Only these items alter the bid documents. Any verbal discussions or responses are hereby declared null and void. Please acknowledge this addendum on the Bid Proposal Form.

First Location: Parson Mill Dam/Dag's Bait Shop

Q: Will there be upstream fish passage? Is it stocked?

A: It is stocked; the target species is alewives. Upstream passage is prescribed; it will be constructed in the next 5 years. If there is upstream passage at the Barker Dams, Atlantic Salmon will have access to the Little Androscoggin and subsequently Taylor Brook. Eels and lamprey are also targeted species as well.

Q: Who owns the building near the Parson Mill Dam? Who owns the dam? Who operates the dam?

A: The City of Auburn is obligated to maintain and operate the dam. Clean Estate LLC owns the dam and the water rights.

Q: In terms of decision making, if there's a change or modification to the plan, what outside parties will be involved?

A: We will be involving both property owners heavily in the feasibility study. They've expressed that they're open to fish passage.

Q: Does this pond have any sort of water level order, or requirement to set at a certain elevation?

A: We're required to lower it for storm or flood events, but there's no legal requirement to keep it at a certain elevation. Dag's Bait Shop uses it to float their bait tanks.

Q: When was this bridge repaired or replaced? Does DOT have an upcoming work plan for this bridge?

A: Maine DOT work plans are public. [The MaineDOT Work Plan | Maine Department of Transportation](#) Note, DOT is considered a landowner for this project.

Q: Any historical flooding issues at this location?

A: Yes.

Second Location: Kendall/Stevens Mill Dams

Q: Is there water over the top of the dams for the summer?

A: The lower one, yes. There are no outlets releasing water intentionally. See photos taken this past summer for reference.

Q: Was anything unique observed at this location?

A: There is a non-native snail species that's quite abundant in this impoundment.

Q: Regarding adjacent property owners upstream of here, are there any residents that you've spoken to?

A: No, NOAA, Fish & Wildlife, and city staff have not spoken to other residents besides property owners adjacent to Kendall/Stevens Mill Dams. There are multiple public meetings built into the RFP regarding community engagement.

Q: Is there potential resistance to dam removal from property owners?

A: Unknown.

Q: Did you say that four public meetings were incorporated in the RFP?

A: Yes. Please use optional tasks in RFP to present additional tasks. For example, if you feel additional landowner meetings are necessary, please include them under optional tasks.

Q: Question on final deliverable: I think there's a couple sentences in there about culminating with feasibility study, and I think concept level drawings, preliminary design, and permanent level drawings, all show up in different places. What is the vision of the final deliverables? At least for, like, different clients and different types of work, those three plant sets are kind of different levels of people.

A: We have omitted the task of permit level engineering plans. 30% designs are appropriate for a feasibility plan.

Q: I saw costs as part of the selection. As far as the engineers here, which solution, which alternative gets advanced, can have different costs. So how should the consultants fit that part without knowing what the alternatives are going to be? How can those alternatives be fairly evaluated as unknown?

A: As mentioned above, we have omitted permit level engineering plans. Please include clearly defined "other studies" under optional tasks.

Q: On that task one, there's something about an environmental review for the use of federal funds?

A: Under General Information in the RFP, please disregard "the proposed work will include upfront, environmental review for the use of federal funds.